wo

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Simon Gustavo Diaz-Solano	Case Number: <u>11-6152M</u>
and was re		2(f), a detention hearing was held on April 18, 2011. Defendant was presen derance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention
I find by a ı	Foreponderance of the evidence that:	INDINGS OF FACT
, , <u>,</u>	•	nited States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
		ed offense, was in the United States illegally.
		ces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs ne jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported
	The defendant has no significant conta	cts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the to assure his/her future appearance.	United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated
	The defendant has a prior criminal histo	ory.
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicar substantial family ties to Mexico.	nt but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
	There is a record of prior failure to appe	ear in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade law	enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprisonment.
at the time	of the hearing in this matter, except as noted CO	NCLUSIONS OF LAW
1. 2. Th	DIRECTION	int will flee. Ins will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. NS REGARDING DETENTION The Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in
a correction appeal. The of the United	ns facility separate, to the extent practicable, for the defendant shall be afforded a reasonable of the ded States or on request of an attorney for the to the United States Marshal for the purpose	rom persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending pportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
IT deliver a co	IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this d	etention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to p Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric
IT Services s	IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a ufficiently in advance of the hearing before t the potential third party custodian.	a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria he District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
D	ATED this 19 th day of April, 2011.	
	_ 5	
		David K. Duncan States Magistrate Judge